

QUANTUM COMPUTING DISCRETE LOGARITHMS WITH THE HELP OF A PREPROCESSED STATE

WIM VAN DAM

ABSTRACT. An alternative quantum algorithm for the discrete logarithm problem is presented. The algorithm uses two quantum registers and two Fourier transforms whereas Shor's algorithm requires three registers and four Fourier transforms. A crucial ingredient of the algorithm is a quantum state that needs to be constructed before we can perform the computation. After one copy of this state is created, the algorithm can be executed arbitrarily many times.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1994, Peter Shor described an efficient, polynomial time, quantum algorithm for the discrete logarithm problem[7]. Shor's protocol is based on the period finding capability of quantum computers and its initial version was a probabilistic algorithm. Following this work, several authors have presented exact versions of Shor's algorithm [1, 5], based on the method of 'amplitude amplification'.

Here we present an alternative algorithm for the discrete logarithm. The algorithm requires the preprocessing of a state that is specific for the group G and its generator g for which we want to calculate the discrete logarithm. The size of this 'chi state' is $\log |G|$ qubits and it can be created efficiently with zero error probability. The actual discrete logarithm algorithm is more efficient than Shor's version and because the chi state can be reused indefinitely, we can view the production of it as a form of 'preprocessing' that is especially worthwhile if we intend to solve many instances of the discrete logarithm problem for a fixed group. Provided that we have a perfect version of the chi state and we can perform the quantum Fourier transform over $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ (with m the order of the group G) exactly, the algorithm presented here is deterministic. Furthermore it is possible to perfectly copy the χ state, hence after one quantum computer has produced the state, other computers can acquire the state with at no extra cost. Typically, G would be the multiplicative 'mod n ' group $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$ with $\phi(n) = m$, but the algorithm works for every cyclic group G .

The reader is referred to [6] for an introduction in the theory of quantum computation. Throughout the text we assume that we can perform the quantum Fourier transform over the additive group $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ exactly; see [5] for when and how this can be done.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 81P68, 68W40, 11Y16.

Key words and phrases. quantum computing, discrete logarithm problem, Fourier transform. Report no. MIT-CTP 3446.

2. THE ALGORITHM

Let G be the multiplicative group of order m generated by g such that $G = \{g^1, g^2, \dots, g^m = 1\}$. For a fixed g , the *discrete logarithm problem* is to determine the power $p \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ of a given element $g^p \in G$ (we use the notation $\log_g(g^p) := p$). Throughout the article we assume that the order m is known.

As mentioned in the introduction, the algorithm consists of two parts: the pre-processing of a ‘chi state’ and the actual algorithm, which can be executed arbitrarily many times on one copy of the chi state. Before we describe these two parts of the algorithm, we will define some of its ingredients, which are also used in Shor’s algorithm.

Fourier transform: For the additive group $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ the quantum Fourier transform F , which is a unitary operation, is defined by

$$F : |x\rangle \longmapsto \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{y=0}^{m-1} \zeta_m^{xy} |y\rangle,$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ and $\zeta_m := e^{2\pi i/m}$. How to efficiently implement the Fourier transform in circuits of size $\text{poly}(\log m)$ is explained in, for example, [2]. For which m we can implement F exactly and how is discussed in, for example, [5].

Division operator: We assume that multiplication and division in G can be done efficiently (in time $\text{poly}(\log m)$), and hence using repeated powering $x \mapsto x^2 \mapsto x^4 \dots$, we can efficiently calculate any power x^r for $-m \leq r \leq m$. This shows that the following two reversible ‘division operators’ can be implemented efficiently as well:

$$\begin{aligned} D^\alpha : |x, y\rangle &\longmapsto |x, y/x^\alpha\rangle, \\ D_x : |\alpha, y\rangle &\longmapsto |\alpha, y/x^\alpha\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in G$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$.

We are now ready to describe the two parts of the quantum algorithm. First, in §2.1, we will define the ‘chi state’, which is crucial for the algorithm. We will mention some of its properties and show the state can be prepared in an efficient way. After that, in §2.2, the actual algorithm will be given.

2.1. The Chi State, Its Properties and Its Preparation. Given g and the group G , define the chi state by

$$|\chi\rangle := \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} \zeta_m^r |g^r\rangle.$$

We use the symbol χ for this state because its phase values ζ_m^r are the values of the multiplicative character $\chi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with $\chi(g^r) := \zeta_m^r$ for all $r \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ and hence with $\chi(xy) = \chi(x)\chi(y)$. For every $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ we also define the α -th power of the chi state by

$$|\chi^\alpha\rangle := \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} \zeta_m^{\alpha r} |g^r\rangle.$$

Note that $|\chi^0\rangle$ is the uniform superposition of the elements of G .

Using the D^α operation chi states can be copied to arbitrary χ^α states. It is straightforward to check that if we apply a D^α operation to a state $|g^s\rangle|\chi\rangle$ we will induce the phase change $|g^s, \chi\rangle \mapsto \zeta_m^{\alpha s} |g^s, \chi\rangle$. Hence, if we apply D^α to a uniform superposition of G and a χ -state, we obtain a new χ^α state without losing the original $|\chi\rangle$:

$$D^\alpha : \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{x \in G} |x\rangle |\chi\rangle \mapsto |\chi^\alpha\rangle |\chi\rangle.$$

In general we have in fact the mapping $D^\alpha : |\chi^\beta\rangle |\chi^\gamma\rangle \mapsto |\chi^{\beta+\alpha\gamma}\rangle |\chi^\gamma\rangle$. Under the assumption that it is easy to create the uniform superposition $|\chi^0\rangle$, we thus see that we can efficiently create arbitrary $|\chi^\alpha\rangle$ states, as soon as we have an initial state $|\chi\rangle$. To create the first chi state, we use the following zero error procedure.

Chi State Preparation Algorithm: Let g be the generator of the group $G = \{g, g^2, \dots, g^m = g^0 = 1\}$.

- (1) Initialize two $\log m$ qubit registers to $|0, 0\rangle$ and apply the Fourier transform over $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ to the left one. Next, calculate in the right register powers g^r where the exponent r is read from the left register. This step gives the transformation

$$|0, 0\rangle \mapsto \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} |r, g^r\rangle.$$

- (2) Apply the Fourier transform over $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ to the first register:

$$F \otimes I : \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} |r, g^r\rangle \mapsto \frac{1}{m} \sum_{s,r=0}^{m-1} \zeta_m^{rs} |s, g^r\rangle.$$

Note that this state equals $\sum_s |s, \chi^s\rangle / \sqrt{m}$.

- (3) Measure the s -register. If $\gcd(s, m) \neq 1$, go back to step 1 and repeat the protocol. Otherwise, continue with the state $|s, \chi^s\rangle$.
- (4) Clear the s register and replace it with the uniform superposition of elements of G such that we obtain the state $|\chi^0, \chi^s\rangle$.
- (5) Apply $D^{(1/s)}$ (as $1/s := s^{-1}$ is well-defined in $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$), such that we get the transformation $|\chi^0, \chi^s\rangle \mapsto |\chi^1, \chi^s\rangle$. Remove the right register, yielding $|\chi\rangle$.

All steps in the above algorithm can be done in time $\text{poly}(\log m)$. The probability that the observed s in Step 1 is co-prime with m is $\phi(m)/m$, which is lower bounded by $\Omega(1/\log(\log m))$. Hence the expected number of times that we have to repeat the algorithm until we reach Step 4 is $O(\log(\log m))$. In all, and assuming that we can perform the Fourier transform exactly, this shows that this algorithm produces the state $|\chi\rangle$ with zero error probability and has expected running time $\text{poly}(\log m)$. Using amplitude amplification [1] and knowledge about $\phi(m)$ we could make this algorithm exact, but because we need to prepare $|\chi\rangle$ only once, we do not bother. (Note again that copying the χ -state via the operation $D^1 : |\chi^0, \chi\rangle \mapsto |\chi, \chi\rangle$ is deterministic and more simple than the just described chi preparation algorithm.)

2.2. Using the Chi State for the Discrete Logarithm Problem. The crucial property of the chi state that we will use in the logarithm algorithm is its phase changing behavior when we apply D_x to it. Given an element $x = g^p \in G$, the D_x

transform on $|\alpha\rangle$ and $|\chi\rangle$ has the following effect (which is shown with the help of the equality $\sum_r \zeta_m^r |g^r/g^{p\alpha}\rangle = \sum_r \zeta_m^{\alpha p+r} |g^r\rangle$):

$$D_x : |\alpha\rangle|\chi\rangle \longmapsto \zeta_m^{\alpha p} |\alpha\rangle|\chi\rangle,$$

with $p := \log_g(x)$. This ‘multiplicative phase kick-back trick’ (cf. [2] for the additive version) is used to calculate $\log_g x$ in the following algorithm.

Discrete Logarithm Algorithm: *Given the generator g , a state $|\chi\rangle$ and the input value $x = g^p$, perform the following 3 steps.*

- (1) *Create a uniform superposition of α ’s by applying the Fourier transform over $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ to 0:*

$$|0\rangle \longmapsto \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{\alpha=0}^{m-1} |\alpha\rangle.$$

- (2) *With the χ state as the second register, apply the D_x transform to this superposition, giving:*

$$D_x : \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{\alpha=0}^{m-1} |\alpha\rangle|\chi\rangle \longmapsto \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{\alpha=0}^{m-1} \zeta_m^{\alpha \log_g(x)} |\alpha\rangle|\chi\rangle.$$

- (3) *Recover the logarithm p by applying an inverse Fourier transform (over $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$) to the first register, yielding the final state $|\log_g(x)\rangle|\chi\rangle$.*

The complexity of the algorithm consists of two Fourier transforms over $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ and one implementation of D_x , which can all be done in time $\text{poly}(\log m)$. If these transformations are performed perfectly and the state χ is exact, then the above algorithm finds the discrete logarithm $\log_g(x)$ with probability 1. Note also that the chi state did not get destroyed in the computation, and hence can be reused.

3. DISCUSSION

The two $\log m$ qubit registers and two Fourier transforms over $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ of the above algorithm are improvements over the exact version of Shor’s algorithm, as described in [5], which requires three quantum registers of $\log m$ qubits and four Fourier transforms. Also the ‘exactness’ of this algorithm is more straightforward as we did not need to use amplitude amplification [1] to suppress the errors.

If we allow measurements with classical interactions during the computation, we can use the semi-classical Fourier transform over $\mathbb{Z}/2^k\mathbb{Z}$ [3] to reduce the size of the first register to one coherent qubit. By taking $k \approx \log m$, the above algorithm gives a probabilistic procedure with $\log m$ measurements during its Fourier transform, while the standard semi-classical discrete logarithm algorithm requires $2 \log m$ measurements [4].

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank Andrew Childs and Mike Mosca for their comments on an earlier version of this article. This work is supported in part by funds provided by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and cooperative research agreement DF-FC02-94ER40818, and by a CMI postdoctoral fellowship.

REFERENCES

- [1] Gilles Brassard and Peter Høyer, “An exact quantum polynomial-time algorithm for Simon’s problem”, Proceedings of Fifth Israeli Symposium on Theory of Computing and Systems (ISTCS’97), pages 12–23 (1997); arXiv:quant-ph/9704027
- [2] Richard Cleve, Artur Ekert, Chiara Macchiavello, and Michele Mosca, “Quantum algorithms revisited”, *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London A*, Volume 454, pages 339–354 (1998); arXiv:quant-ph/9708016
- [3] Robert B. Griffiths and Chi-Sheng Niu, “Semiclassical Fourier Transform for Quantum Computation”, *Physical Review Letters*, Volume 76, pages 3228–3231 (1996); arXiv:quant-ph/9511007
- [4] Michele Mosca and Artur Ekert, “The hidden subgroup problem and eigenvalue estimation on a quantum computer”, Proceedings of the 1st NASA International Conference on Quantum Computing and Quantum Communication, Lecture Notes in Computer Science 1509 (1999); arXiv:quant-ph/9903071
- [5] Michele Mosca and Christoph Zalka, “Exact quantum Fourier transforms and discrete logarithm algorithms”, to be published in proceedings of EQIS’03; arXiv:quant-ph/0301093
- [6] Michael A. Nielsen and Isaac L. Chuang, *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information*, Cambridge University Press (2000)
- [7] Peter W. Shor, “Algorithms for Quantum Computation: Discrete Logarithms and Factoring”, *SIAM Journal on Computing*, Volume 26:5, pages 1484–1509 (1997); arXiv:quant-ph/9508027

MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, CENTER FOR THEORETICAL PHYSICS, 77 MASSACHUSETTS AVENUE, CAMBRIDGE, MA 02139-4307, USA

E-mail address: vandam@mit.edu